Syllabus

Torts

(Revised for January 2020)

Candidates are advised that the syllabus may be updated from time-to-time without prior notice.

Candidates are responsible for obtaining the most current syllabus available.
LEARNING OBJECTIVES

A full understanding and the effective practice of any area of the law require not only a thorough knowledge of its substantive rules, but also familiarity with and an ability to think critically about its theoretical underpinnings.

In that area of the law called “torts,” the latter elements are of particular importance. This is so because the Canadian law of torts, as you will see from the readings, is not conceptually whole. No comprehensive or unified theory can be said to inform the various rules and heads of liability that constitute Canadian tort law. While the explanation for this may lie in the historical development of tort law as a residual legal category (many texts “define” tort law by stating what it is not), it may also be attributable in part to jurists (judges, lawyers or academics) not always recognizing and addressing fundamental questions of tort law when contributing to its development.

It is the discovery in yourself of this ability—to recognize and address the fundamental questions of tort law—that should be your overarching objective in completing the readings and studying for the examination. Along the way, you should also acquire the following:

1. a thorough knowledge of the substantive rules of the key subject areas of Canadian tort law, including, where applicable, key statutes that impact or interact with the common law;

2. the ability to discover, understand and integrate any changes to those rules;

3. the capacity to spot relevant legal issues in a given fact situation, and to apply the abovementioned knowledge to the same;

4. an appreciation of the theories that have been advanced as bases for the torts that currently comprise our system of loss allocation;

5. familiarity with alternative theories that might ground tortious liability, or some other system of loss allocation, in various circumstances; and

6. the ability and willingness to think critically about all of the above and to articulate your own ideas and opinions that arise from that thinking.

Of course, the above list of objectives could as well serve for an entire career as for an introduction to torts. Nevertheless, by the time you sit for the examination you should have developed a substantial degree of proficiency in all these areas.
EVALUATION:

Evaluation is based solely on a 3-hour, open book examination. A passing grade is 50%.

While exams in the past may have been graded out of 100 marks, you may see exams worth 180 marks (or some other value). This generally works out to be 1 mark per minute on average. However, whatever the raw mark score (100 marks, 180 marks, 270 marks etc.), the exam is always converted to a percentage (%) whereby 50% is considered to be a passing grade.

Example:

In an exam set out of 180 marks a passing grade (50%) will be at least 90 marks.

The exam may consist of short answer questions, and/or short essay questions, and/or problem questions. Not all variations will necessarily appear on a given exam, however, you may expect one or more of these styles to appear. The standard fact-based and essay style questions will still be the major component of these exams however.

- Short answer questions test candidates’ ability to succinctly and correctly evaluate statements about material covered in the syllabus. These may include, multiple choice, true/false or fill in the blank styles etc.

- Essay questions test whether candidates have critically engaged with the material listed in the syllabus and have started to form their own opinions about the strengths and weaknesses of the arguments, principles, and doctrines discussed in those materials.

- Problem (fact-based) questions test candidates’ ability to identify legal issues, accurately state the applicable legal rules, apply those rules to novel situations, and draw conclusions supported by analysis. In other words, problem questions require the exercise of independent judgment grounded in the application of general rules to specific fact situations. Problem questions may ask you to take a particular perspective, such as that of a lawyer writing a legal memo or opinion letter to a client or a judge writing a decision.
REQUIRED MATERIALS


SUGGESTED SOURCES FOR FURTHER (OPTIONAL) READING OR STUDY:


Peter T Burns & Joost Blom, *Economic Interests in Canadian Tort Law* (Markham, ON: LexisNexis Butterworths, 2009)


Allen M Linden & Bruce Feldthusen, *Canadian Tort Law*, 9th ed (Markham, ON: Lexis-Nexis Butterworths, 2011)


Sanda Rodgers, Rakhi Ruparelia & Louise Bélanger-Hardy, *Critical Torts* (Markham, ON: Lexis-Nexis Butterworths, 2009)
OUTLINE AND READINGS

Following is a course outline with associated reading assignments. “SOL” refers to the required casebook by Solomon, McInnes, Chamberlain and Pitel; “GHLF” refers to the Fridman text. Occasionally you are also directly referred to recent decisions of the Canadian courts or to other materials, which are available online (links provided).

A. INTRODUCTION

1. The Concept of Torts
   (a) Nature and History of Torts ......................... SOL 1-9
       ........................ SOR 13-9
       ........................ GHLF 3-4, 11, 15-16
   (b) Functions of Tort Law .............................. SOL 20-25
       ........................ GHLF 12-15
   (c) Theoretical Approaches ............................ SOL 1225-1253

2. Bases and Scope of Liability .......................... SOL 18-20

3. Intention and Related Concepts ....................... SOL 51-63

4. Remedies .................................................. SOL 29-49

   (a) Nominal Damages ................................. SOL 32-33
   (b) Compensatory Damages ......................... SOL 34-36
       The Mediana 
   (c) Aggravated Damages ............................. SOL 37-38
   (d) Punitive (Exemplary) Damages ................. SOL 38-45
   (e) Disgorgement Damages ........................... SOL 45-49

5. Vicarious Liability ......................................... SOL 1051-1085

6. Historical Roots: Trespass and Case .................... SOL 9-17

__________________________________________________________

1. An embedded hyperlink is provided to the full case on LexisNexis (Quicklaw). It provides more context than the excerpt of Lord Halsbury’s judgment than is reproduced in the SOL casebook.

2. See the above note.
7. Standard of Proof and Burden of Proof \(^3\) ........................................... SOL 821-846

(a) *F.H. v McDougall* ................................................................. SOL 826, note 8\(^4\)


(c) Legal Burden versus Evidentiary Burden .................. SOL 821-825

(d) Exceptions to the General Rule on Legal Burden ..... SOL 827-846

B. TRESPASS TO PERSONS

1. Introduction ................................................................. GHLF 93-101

2. Battery ................................................................. SOL 63-70

3. Assault ................................................................. SOL 70-75

4. Wrongful (“False”) Imprisonment .................. SOL 75-84

5. Wrongful Prosecution

(a) Malicious Prosecution .................. SOL 85-92

................................................................. GHLF 171-176


(b) Wrongful Prosecution Sans Malice ............*Henry v British Columbia (Attorney General)*, 2015 SCC 24 \(^6\)

6. Intentional Infliction of Nervous Shock .................. SOL 94-103

7. The Innominate Intentional Tort .................. SOL 103-105

8. Invasion of Privacy .................. SOL 105-121

................................................................. GHLF 165-169

9. Breach of Confidence .................. SOL 121-123

---

\(3\) The chapter for this section in SOL is “Proof of Negligence,” but the concepts apply more generally to tort law than that hence their inclusion in the introductory part of this outline.

\(4\) The casebook incorrectly shows the style of cause as “*R.C. v McDougall*,” but correctly summarizes the case ratio.

\(5\) Compare the result in Simpson’s criminal trial for murder and civil trial for wrongful death.

\(6\) Although not part of the syllabus, interested readers may also want to look at the subsequent trial decision, 2016 BCSC 1038, and costs decision, 2016 BCSC 1494, where Hinkson CJ of the British Columbia Supreme Court applies the SCC’s ruling in this case.
C. TRESPASS TO PROPERTY

1. Intentional Interference with Personal Property .............. GHLF 81-83
   (a) Historical Roots .................................................. SOL 129-135
   (b) Trespass to Chattels ............................................. SOL 135-139
   (c) Conversion ......................................................... SOL 139-165
   (d) Detinue .......................................................... SOL 165-171
   (e) Recaption and Replevin ....................................... SOL 171-175

2. Intentional Interference with Real Property
   (a) Trespass to Land .................................................. GHLF 53-58
   (b) Trespass at Common Law ..................................... SOL 177-189
   (c) Trespass under Statute ......................................... SOL 188 (note 13); and
       .................................................................. SOL 892-896
   (d) Trespass and Nuisance ....................................... SOL 189-193
   (e) Trespass to Airspace and Subsoil .......................... SOL 194-199

D. NUISANCE AND STRICT LIABILITY

1. Introduction ................................................................. SOL 967-968
   ........................................................................... GHLF 59-69

2. Private Nuisance .......................................................... SOL 968-994

3. Public Nuisance ............................................................ SOL 994-1002

4. Remedies .......................................................................... SOL 1002-10017

5. Strict Liability for Escape of Dangerous Substances ..... SOL 1021-1037

6. Strict Liability for Animals ............................................ SOL 1037-1049
E. DEFENCES

1. Consent

   (a) General Principles .................................................. SOL 201-202
   (b) Implied Consent .................................................... SOL 202-204
   (c) Exceeding Consent .................................................. SOL 204-208
   (d) Competency to Consent ............................................ SOL 208-209
   (e) Vitiating Consent .................................................... SOL 209-220
   (f) Consent to Treatment, Counselling and Care ............. SOL 222-243
   (g) Legislative Reform ................................................... SOL 242 (note 1)

   Note 1 in SOL 242 explains that most provinces have incorporated the concept of consent to treatment into healthcare legislation. The legislation also includes provisions for expressing healthcare wishes in advance and provisions for substitute consent in the event of incapacity. Candidates should be familiar with the noted portions of one of the following provincial legislative schemes:

   ● Health Care (Consent) and Care Facility (Admission) Act, RSBC 1996, c 181, Parts 1, 2 and 2.1, and Representation Agreement Act, RSBC 1996, c 405, Parts 1–4 (British Columbia)
   ● The Health Care Directives and Substitute Health Care Decision Makers Act, 2015, SS 2015, c H-0.002 (Saskatchewan)

2. Ex Turpi Causa Non Oritur Actio ........................................ SOL 220-222
   ................................................................. SOL 810-817
   ................................................................. GHLF 131-132

3. Defence of Self ................................................................. SOL 245-250

4. Defence of Third Parties ........................................................ SOL 251-254

5. Defence of Real Property ........................................................ SOL 259-264

6. Defence and Recaption of Chattels ........................................... SOL 264-266
7. Necessity

(a) Public Necessity ...................................................... SOL 266-270
(b) Private Necessity ...................................................... SOL 270-274

8. Legal Authority

(a) Introduction ......................................................... SOL 279-280
................................................................................. GHLF 102-104
(b) Authority or Privilege to Arrest Without Warrant ...... SOL 285-293
...... *Citizen's Arrest and Self-defence Act*, SC 2012, c 9

9. Apportionment of Fault (Liability) in Intentional Torts

(a) Overview ............................................................... SOL 274-276
(b) Apportionment of Liability .................. see readings below, under “G”

F. NEGLIGENCE

1. Introduction ............................................................. SOL 317-320
................................................................................. GHLF 105-106

2. Elements of Negligence (Overview) ......................... SOL 320-326

3. Duty of Care

(a) The General Duty of Care Analysis .................. GHLF 106-112
................................................................................. SOL 327-349

(b) Application: Foreseeability

(i) Foreseeable Risk ................................................. SOL 349-359
(ii) Foreseeable Plaintiff ........................................ SOL 359-366

(c) Special Duties: Affirmative Acts (Introduction) .... SOL 367-370

(i) Rescuing Others .................................................. SOL 370-383
(ii) Controlling Conduct of Others ...................... SOL 383-410
(iii) Gratuitous Undertakings ................................. SOL 410-416

(d) Special Duties: Miscellaneous (Introduction) .... SOL 417

(i) Rescuers ............................................................. SOL 417-427
................................................................................. GHLF 115-116
(ii) The Unborn ......................................................... SOL 427-448
................................................................................. GHLF 114-115
(iii) Bystanders (Victims of Shock) ...................... SOL 448-469
4. Negligent Misrepresentation and Pure Economic Loss

(a) Negligent Misrepresentation (Introduction) ........... SOL 497-505
   (i) Causing Pure Economic Loss ......................... SOL 505-527
   (ii) Misrepresentation and Contract .................... SOL 527-542
(b) Negligent Performance of Service ......................... SOL 554-559
(c) Negligent Supply of Goods or Structures ................. SOL 559-572
(d) Relational Economic Loss .................................. SOL 572-582
(e) New Categories ............................................... SOL 543-554

5. Tort Liability of Public Authorities

(a) Introduction .................................................. SOL 847-848
(b) Special Rules ................................................ SOL 848-858
(c) Negligence of Public Authorities ......................... SOL 858-877
(d) Misfeasance in Public Office 7 ............................. SOL 877-889
(e) Other Torts .................................................. SOL 889-892

6. Standard of Care

(a) Introduction .................................................. SOL 583-585
(b) The Reasonable Person ...................................... SOL 585-588
(c) Factors Considered .......................................... SOL 588-602
(d) Economic Analysis .......................................... SOL 602-606
(e) Special Standards
   (i) Persons with Disabilities .............................. SOL 606-613
   (ii) Children .................................................. SOL 613-617
   (iii) Professionals ............................................ SOL 617-625
   (iv) Role of Custom .......................................... SOL 627-634
(f) Role of Legislation in Common-Law Standards .......... SOL 901-918

---

7. This is actually an intentional tort, but is placed here for the convenience of considering all torts against public authorities together.
7. Factual Causation

(a) Introduction ....................................................... GHLF 121-122
................................................................. SOL 635-636
(b) The But-For Test ..................................................... SOL 636-640
(c) Established Exceptions to the But-For Test .......... SOL 640-643
(d) Recent Attempts to Modify the But-For Test .......... SOL 643-661
(e) Proportionate or “Market Share” Causation/Liability

 ...... Gariepy v Shell Oil, 51 OR (3d) 181, 2000 CanLII 22706, para 11
 ...... Cuillerier v André’s Furnace, 2011 ONSC 5310 at para 29

(f) Multiple Causes ....................................................... GHLF 33-37
................................................................. SOL 661-664

 (i) Independent Insufficient Causes ....................... SOL 664-669
 (ii) Independent Sufficient Causes ......................... SOL 669-671
 (iii) Successive Causes of Parallel Injury ................. SOL 671-674
 (iv) Devaluing the Plaintiff’s Loss ......................... SOL 674-678

8. Legal Causation (Lack of “Remoteness”)

(a) Introduction ....................................................... GHLF 118-121
................................................................. SOL 679-680
(b) Directness versus Foreseeability ............................. SOL 680-685
(c) Foreseeability Modified ......................................... SOL 685-705
(d) Intervening Causes ................................................ SOL 705-717
(e) Beyond the Scope of the Risk ................................. SOL 717-720

9. Categories and Assessment of Damages

(a) Introduction ......................................................... SOL 721-731
(b) Damages for Personal Injury ................................. SOL 731-758
Inflation Calculator
 http://www.bankofcanada.ca/rates/related/inflation-calculator

8. The market share theory of liability developed in Sindell, a decision of the California Supreme Court, has been recognized in Canada as creating a potential to establish causation based on the proportion of risk that a defendant causes and to hold such a defendant severally (as opposed to jointly and severally) liable where the case meets the criteria in Sindell. Two Canadian cases are cited here—the Gariepy case settled after the motion to strike the claim was dismissed.

9. This website can be used, for example, to convert the Andrews cap on non-pecuniary damages for personal injury awards into a value expressed in today’s dollars.
(c) The Role of Present Value and Discount Rates ........ SOL 749-751

Khan Academy, “Present Value” online: https://www.khanacademy.org/search?search_again=1&page_search_query=discount+rates+AND+present+value.

Review the first four (4) short videos related to: (i) “Time value of money”; (ii) “Introduction to present value”; (iii) “Present value 2”; and (iv) “Present value 3”. Present value 4 adds a level of sophistication that is not necessary for the course.

(d) Survivor and Dependent Claims .................................... SOL 758-770

.................................. GHLF 146-149

(e) Damages for Property Loss ............................................. SOL 770-775

G. SPECIAL DEFENCES AND CONSIDERATIONS IN NEGLIGENCE ACTIONS

1. Contributory Negligence and Apportionment of Liability

(a) Introduction ................................................................. SOL 783

(b) Development of the Defence ........................................ SOL 783-785


(c) Conduct Constituting Contributory Negligence ........ SOL 785-794

(d) Contribution Among Tortfeasors; Joint and Several Liability ........ Kleefeld, supra at 41-46

(e) Apportionment of Liability .......................................... SOL 794-803

(f) Law Reform Agenda ..................................................... Kleefeld, supra at 111-126

2. Voluntary Assumption of Risk

(a) Introduction ................................................................. SOL 803

(b) Scope of the Defence .................................................. SOL 804-810

H. REVIEW PROBLEMS

Working on review problems is one of the best ways to learn material. Review problems are located throughout the SOL text at the end of the relevant topics.
**Online Resources**

The majority of case law and legislative resources needed by NCA students are available on CanLII, the free legal information resource funded by the Federation of Law Societies of Canada (www.canlii.org). That includes all decisions of the Supreme Court of Canada, and all federal, provincial, territorial and appellate courts.

Your registration fee also includes free access to the Quicklaw resources of Lexis Nexis. Your ID and password will be arranged and emailed to your email address on file a few weeks after the end of the registration session.

Sign in to Quicklaw via [http://www.lexisnexis.com/ca/legal](http://www.lexisnexis.com/ca/legal). The first time you sign in to Quicklaw you will be asked to change or personalize your password. Remember your User ID and password are personal, and should not be shared with anyone.

If you forget or lose your password to Quicklaw you may retrieve it by clicking on the “Forget Password?” link on the Quicklaw sign-in page. Any other issues please Email ftang@flsc.ca.

Please review and abide by all Terms of Use when you receive your Quicklaw credentials, otherwise your Quicklaw account will be closed without any prior notice.

Lexis Nexis Quicklaw customer support is available via service@lexisnexis.ca, or calling 1.800.387.0899.