



Federation of  
Law Societies  
of Canada

---

**National Committee on Accreditation**

**SAMPLE**

**Examination for  
Family Law (ON/BC/AB)**

Candidate No.: \_\_\_\_\_

*(To ensure your anonymity, please DO NOT include/type your name in any part of your exam)*

TO PROTECT THE INTEGRITY OF THE EXAMINATION PROCESS,  
REPRODUCTION OF THIS EXAM IN WHOLE OR IN PART  
BY ANY MEANS IS STRICTLY FORBIDDEN.



## **SAMPLE**

### **Examination for Family Law (ON/BC/AB)**

#### **General conditions of NCA online exams:**

The NCA allows **three (3) hours in total** for completion of each exam.

NCA exams are open-book. **Only hard copy study materials** will be permitted; you will **NOT** have access to electronic copies of your notes or textbooks.

The examination will be graded on a **pass/fail** basis (50% is a pass).

The contents of the examination, including the exam questions, **must not be disclosed** or discussed with others

If you finish early, you must stay in place, with your computer still locked down, for the full 3 hours. **Failure to follow the proctor's instructions regarding sequestering is a violation of the Candidate Agreement and will result in your exam being disqualified.**

-----

NCA online exams are available through a secure, browser-based platform that locks down your computer. This means the computer cannot be used for any other purpose or to access any other material during the exam.

As you write your exam, a person designated as proctor will check your identification and monitor you **using two cameras**; a web camera on your computer and a camera on a tablet or phone.

For more information concerning the NCA's online exams, including, exam rules, technical requirements and the candidate agreement please see the links below:

<https://nca.legal/exams/online-exam-rules/>  
<https://nca.legal/exams/technical-requirements-and-testing-for-online-exams/>  
<https://nca.legal/exams/nca-candidate-agreement/>



**Each exam may have its own special instructions, therefore, it is important for you to read these carefully before starting.**

**Instructions specific to this exam:**

1. This examination contains **XYZ questions**, worth a total of **100 marks**.
2. Answer all the questions with full sentences

**This sample exam provides an indication of the style/type of questions that may be asked in each exam. It does not reflect the content or actual format/structure of questions nor their value. Actual exams for a specific subject vary from exam session to exam session.**



### **QUESTION ONE (10 MARKS total)**

Sophia and Boris have been separated for 18 months. For the past year, Sophia has resided in Vancouver. She has commenced a divorce proceeding in the British Columbia Supreme Court seeking sole custody of their son, Igor, age 10 years, who has lived with Boris in Toronto since the spousal separation. Boris seeks to transfer the divorce proceeding to the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. Advise him.

### **QUESTION TWO (30 MARKS total) (PART A: 20 marks, PART B: 10 MARKS)**

Jules divorced his first wife Josée, eight years ago. At that time, he was granted sole custody of their daughter, Denise, age five, and Josée was granted specified access privileges. Jules married Marie two months after his divorce. At that time, Jules and Josée orally agreed that all access privileges should be terminated in return for Jules' undertaking to waive any right to seek child support from Josée. During his marriage to Marie, Jules held down two jobs as an unskilled labourer. Marie, with a grade VIII education, could never find full-time employment and assumed the primary responsibility for looking after Denise while Jules was discharging his employment responsibilities. Jules has always spent a great deal of time with Denise to the extent that his employment obligations permitted. Denise is more closely bonded, however, to Marie. Jules and Marie recently separated. Both Jules and Marie wish to obtain sole custody of Denise. Josée, who is aware of the spousal separation, wishes to resume access to Denise now that Jules is no longer involved with Marie. Denise is ambivalent about her preferences concerning future parenting arrangements but wishes to reestablish a relationship with her mother, Josée.

**A.** Define and apply the substantive legal principles governing the above facts on the assumption that the issues between Jules and Marie have resulted in cross-applications for sole custody under the *Children's Law Reform Act*, whereas Josée is seeking to vary the access order granted to her in the divorce proceedings eight years ago. **(20 MARKS)**

**B.** Describe the diverse processes that might be used by any of the aforementioned parties in attempting to resolve their dispute. **(10 MARKS)**

National Committee on Accreditation



**QUESTION THREE (20 MARKS total)  
(PART A: 10 MARKS, PART B: 10 MARKS)**

**A.** Lucretia and Cesare cohabited for seven years during which Cesare treated Lucretia's two children from her previous marriage as he would treat his own children. When Lucretia and Cesare started living together, they formally agreed with Ghengis, the father of Lucretia's two children, that he would have no contact with the children and in return would not pay any child support. Last month, Lucretia and Cesare separated. Cesare has decided that he will have nothing more to do with the children. Ghengis earns \$30,000 per annum; Cesare earns \$60,000 per annum; Lucretia earns \$15,000 per annum. Advise Lucretia who wishes to know whether she can seek the full table amounts of child support from both Cesare and Ghengis and, if not, how a court might determine the respective obligations of Cesare and Ghengis.  
**(10 MARKS)**

**B.** Zeus and Leda have two children who are 12 and 13 years of age. Both children attend private school at a cost of \$20,000 per year for each child. Zeus earns an annual income of \$950,000 which would yield an overall table amount of \$11,272 per month for child support. Leda has an annual income of \$200,000. The two children are beneficiaries under a trust established by their paternal grandfather that generates an income of \$5,000 per year for each child. Advise Leda on the relevant legal principles governing child support, indicating whether the court should order Zeus to pay the full table amount of child support in addition to the children's private school expenses pursuant to sections 4 and 7 of the *Child Support Guidelines*.  
**(10 MARKS)**

**QUESTION FOUR (20 MARKS total)**

Edward and Mary were married in 1981 and separated in 2008. They have no children. Both spouses have been employed in the provincial public service since the date of the marriage. Edward, a lawyer, earns a gross income of \$84,000 per annum. Mary, an executive assistant to a provincial Cabinet minister, earns a gross income of \$42,000 per annum. On the separation of the spouses, Edward personally drew up a separation agreement that provided for an unequal division of their assets whereby he would receive two-thirds of their overall value. Mary also agreed to waive all rights to spousal support. Mary executed this agreement without receiving independent legal advice. She expressly waived financial disclosure with respect to the value of Edward's assets. Mary is now living in a common law relationship with Tom and left her employment three months ago. She wishes to institute proceedings to set aside the separation agreement and to obtain an equal division of the spousal net family properties. She also seeks spousal support. Advise her.

National Committee on Accreditation



## **QUESTION FIVE (20 MARKS total)**

Cyrano and Roxanne were married for twenty-four years. They have recently separated. Roxanne has instituted proceedings for the equalization of their net family properties under the *Family Law Act*. At the time of their marriage, Cyrano owned the following assets:

- (i) A truck worth \$15,000;
- (ii) A cottage worth \$75,000;
- (iii) RRSPs worth \$100,000.

Cyrano had no debts when he married. Roxanne had no assets at the time of the marriage but had pre-marital debts of \$35,000 which were discharged by Cyrano during the marriage. On the date of their permanent separation, Cyrano no longer owned a truck but he owned a Cadillac worth \$30,000. He still owned the cottage which had been used as a second home for Cyrano and Roxanne during their marriage. When they separated the cottage was worth \$175,000 and Cyrano's RRSPs were worth \$280,000. Cyrano also inherited \$400,000 in cash from his mother on her death three weeks before he separated from Roxanne. In addition, he received \$200,000 as the sole beneficiary under his mother's life insurance policy. Cyrano and Roxanne were the joint owners of their primary matrimonial home, a townhouse in Toronto, which had a market value of \$500,000 when they separated. Roxanne sustained serious personal injuries two years prior to the spousal separation. Three weeks after the separation, she received \$25,000 as damages for pain and suffering and \$180,000 as damages for lost wages. Roxanne had debts of \$5,000 owing on her credit cards on the date of spousal separation. Advise Roxanne as to her legal position with respect to her application for an equalization of the spousal net family properties.

**End of Examination**